

Algebra 1-Geometry-Algebra 2 Math Adoption Committee

October 21, 2023 Minutes

Algebra 1-Geometry-Algebra 2 Math Curriculum Adoption Committee

October 21, 2023 9:00 am – 2:00 pm

Location: John Stanford Center for Educational Excellence

SPS Staff members present: Bee Lim, Beth Orme, Brian Coon, De Pham, Liz Andreasen, Haile Wubneh, Katie Batts, Ken Windus, Joie Brannan, Mahamoud Gaayte, Maria Lopez, Minerva Villegas, Becky Kitzman, Sacha Mann, Janine Logsdon

Community members present: Bronwyn Jackson, Jennifer Gerstorff, Morgan Elliot, Neel Blair

Absent: Isaac Kunen, Yiming Lin

Adoption Facilitators: Elissa Farmer, Mei Pontano

1. The adoption committee reviewed the day's agenda and the group norms for the committee meetings.
2. The adoption committee members reviewed the expectations of selection committee participants – including completing a Conflict-of-Interest agreement and associated background reading of School Board Policy 5251 – Ethics, and Evaluation Procedures for Committee Team Members.
3. The adoption committee was presented with the Instructional Materials Committee approved evaluation categories and development process which included groups of categories and weights-points for each group.

| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Category</i> | <i>Weights-Points</i> |
|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| <i>Content</i> | <i>Content, Standards Alignment, and instructional coherence</i> | <i>43</i> |
| <i>Teacher experience</i> | <i>Instructional Planning and Teacher Support</i> | <i>16</i> |
| | <i>Assessment</i> | |
| <i>Student experience</i> | <i>Support for Diverse Learners</i> | <i>22</i> |
| | <i>Student Engagement</i> | |
| | <i>Cultural Responsiveness</i> | |
| <i>Digital Interface</i> | <i>Digital Features and User Experience</i> | <i>9</i> |
| <i>Cost</i> | | <i>10</i> |

4. The adoption committee split into two groups, Teacher Experience and Student Experience, to determine the number of points allotted for each category within those groups.
5. The adoption committee divided into seven subgroups aligned with the seven evaluation categories. Each subgroup worked to draft specific criteria describing the “look-fors” during the evaluation process. The subgroups also allotted a number of points for each criterion.
6. The adoption committee reviewed the criteria and points allotment of each category to provide feedback on draft criteria.
7. Subcommittees reconvened, reviewed feedback, and made final edits to the criteria and points allotment.
8. Subcommittees updated the full adoption committee group with the edits that were made to produce a final draft.
9. The adoption committee reviewed an updated project timeline.
10. The meeting was adjourned at 2:00 pm.